

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/816,321	03/26/2001	Jeffrey Leigh Wells	0011-0366P	8577
2292	7590 09/19/2002			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			MAYES, MELVIN C	
FALLS CHUI	KCII, VA 22040-0747			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1734	
			DATE MAILED: 09/19/2002	10
				Š

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		TC-8				
co.	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/816,321	WELLS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MANUAL DATE AND A STATE OF THE STATE OF T	Melvin Curtis Mayes	1734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cov r sheet with th	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-13,15-18,20-36 and 38-48</u> is/are pe	ending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-47</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,9-13,18,20,21 and 48</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8,15-17 and 22-36</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

In response to applicant's telephone inquiry of September 17, 2002 regarding the last Office action, the following corrective action is taken.

The period for reply of THREE MONTHS set in said Office Action is restarted to begin with the mailing date of this letter.

A complete copy of the last Office Action, including the missing Notice of References Cited Form PTO-892, is enclosed.

Drawings

(1)

This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

(2)

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

(3)

Claims 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1734

Claim 18 claims "the activation device is at least one crushing roller" but depends from Claim 2 which claims that "the activation device is an activator blade." Does Applicant mean that the activator device "includes" or "further comprises" at least one crushing roller?

Claim 21 recites the limitation "the at least one crushing roller" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. A crushing roller is claimed in Claim 18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

(4)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1734

(5)

Claims 2-4, 9-13, 20, 21 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herbet et al. 5,008,133.

Herbet et al. disclose an apparatus for coating comprising: a back-up roll 16 on which a web 14 runs; and a doctor blade 24 which meters the thickness of the coating applied to the web and serves to fracture microcapsules incorporated in the coating mixture. As shown in Figure 1, the edge of the doctor blade 24 is flat and linear and the blade is at an acute angle to the feed path of the web.

Allowable Subject Matter

(6)

Claims 38-47 are allowed.

(7)

Claims 5-8, 15-17 and 22-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

(8)

Claim 18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1734

Conclusion

(9)

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

(10)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Curtis Mayes whose telephone number is 703-308-1977. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 703-308-3853. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Melvin Curtis Mayes Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734

MCM September 17, 2002